



## EFFECT OF INTEGRATED NUTRIENT MANAGEMENT ON YIELD AND QUALITY OF COWPEA (*Vigna unguiculata* L.)

Dorjay Namgyal, Rigzin Safal and Sonam Angchuk

Krishi Vigyan Kendra-Leh, SKUAST-Kashmir

E-mail : kvkleh@yahoo.co.in

### ABSTRACT

A field experiment was conducted at Shalimar Campus, SKUAST Kashmir during *Kharif*, 2007 to study the effect of organic and inorganic fertilizer levels on yield and quality of cowpea. The treatments consisted of five organic fertilizers and three inorganic fertilizer levels in a randomized block design replicated thrice. The green and dry fodder yields of cowpea was significantly higher with organic fertilizer combination of FYM and poultry manure ( $O_3$ ) compared to FYM + biofertilizer ( $O_5$ ) application. It was also found that both 75 and 100 % RFD at par with one another, recorded significantly higher green and dry fodder yields of cowpea over 50% RFD. Regarding the quality parameters of cowpea it was found that  $O_3$  (5 t FYM/ha + 1 t Poultry manure/ha) recorded significantly higher calcium content than  $O_5$  (5 t FYM/ha + 0.5 kg Azospirillum /ha), whereas different organic fertilizer combinations did not influence the crude protein and ash content of cowpea. The fertility levels 75 and 100% RFD significantly increased calcium, crude protein and ash content of cowpea over 50% RFD.

**Key words :** Azospirillum, biofertilizer, cowpea, dry yield, FYM, manure, sheep, vermicompost.

Cowpea is one of the most important leguminous crop and has unique ability of biological nitrogen fixation, deep root system, mobilization of insoluble soil nutrient and bringing qualitative changes in soil. Cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata* L.), belongs to the family leguminosae, chromosome number  $2n=22$  and originated from Central Africa. Cowpea either utilized for green pods as vegetable or green manuring forms an important component of farming systems from the arid to the humid tropics covering parts of Asia and Oceania, the Middle East, Southern Europe, Africa, Central and South America. It is an annual legume adapted to warm conditions and sensitive to chilling hence, it is cultivated widely in tropics and subtropics during the warm season. Pulses are important source of dietary protein and have unique ability of maintaining and restoring soil fertility through biological nitrogen fixation as well as addition of ample amount of residues to the soil. Pulse crops leave behind reasonable quantity of nitrogen in soil to the extent of 30 kg/ha. Green tender pods contain moisture (84.6%), protein (4.3%), carbohydrate (8.0%), fats (0.2%) and rich source of calcium, phosphorus, iron, etc (Aykroyd, 1963). Cowpea is highly responsive to fertilizer application. The dose of fertilizer depends on the initial soil fertility status and moisture conditions. Although cowpea being a legume is capable of fixing atmospheric nitrogen, it responds to small quantity of nitrogenous fertilizers applied as

starter dose (Kimiti *et al.*, 2011). Application of 15-20 kg N/ha has been found optimum to get better response. Application of higher dose of nitrogen may reduce nodule number and growth and thus adversely affects the nitrogen fixation capacity (Singh and Nair, 1995). In terms of significance, phosphorus is most indispensable mineral nutrient for pulse crops as it helps in better root growth and development and thereby making them more efficient in biological nitrogen fixation (BNF). Use of biofertilizers can have a greater importance in increasing fertilizer use efficiency. Indian soils are characterized poor to medium status with respect to nitrogen and available phosphorus. Integrated efforts are required to boost up the yield of cowpea in order to supply a balanced diet to increasing population of our country.

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

The experiment was carried out at Shalimar campus, SKUAST-Kashmir, India during the year of 2007-08 and 2008-09. The climate of Kashmir valley is temperate and characterized by *Kharif* and *Rabi* seasons. The soil of the experimental field was silty clay loam in texture. The nutrient status of soil at the start of experiment was low in available nitrogen ( $270.3 \text{ kg ha}^{-1}$ ), medium in available phosphorus ( $14.3 \text{ kg ha}^{-1}$ ) and available potassium ( $160 \text{ kg ha}^{-1}$ ) with the pH of 6.6. The organic carbon were analysed and depicts low

**Table-1** : Green fodder yield of cowpea as affected by different levels of organic and inorganic fertilizers.

Treatment	Cowpea (q/ha)	
	2007-08	2008-09
O1 (10 t FYM/ha)	38.01	36.48
O2 (5 t FYM/ha + 1 t Vermicompost/ha)	38.41	37.35
O3 (5 t FYM/ha + 1 t Poultry manure/ha)	39.48	39.24
O4 (5 t FYM/ha + 2.5 t Sheep manure/ha)	37.11	36.15
O5 (5 t FYM/ha + 0.5 kg Azospirillum/ha)	36.33	34.51
SE m±	0.96	0.99
CD (p = 0.05)	2.74	2.85
F1 (50% RFD)	33.51	32.10
F2 (75% RFD)	38.88	38.40
F3 (100% RFD)	40.86	39.27
SE m±	0.74	0.77
CD (p = 0.05)	2.12	2.21

\* RFD = 90, 45 and 20 kg N, P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> and K<sub>2</sub>O/ha, respectively.

**Table-2** : Dry fodder yield of cowpea as affected by different levels of organic and inorganic fertilizers.

Treatment	Cowpea (q/ha)	
	2007-08	2008-09
O1 (10 t FYM/ha)	12.67	12.16
O2 (5 t FYM/ha + 1 t Vermicompost/ha)	12.97	12.45
O3 (5 t FYM/ha + 1 t Poultry manure/ha)	13.34	13.08
O4 (5 t FYM/ha + 2.5 t Sheep manure/ha)	12.37	12.05
O5 (5 t FYM/ha + 0.5 kg Azospirillum/ha)	12.11	11.51
SE m±	0.38	0.44
CD (p = 0.05)	1.08	1.25
F1 (50% RFD)	11.17	10.70
F2 (75% RFD)	13.06	12.80
F3 (100% RFD)	13.72	13.09
SE m±	0.29	0.34
CD (p = 0.05)	0.83	0.97

\* RFD = 90, 45 and 20 kg N, P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> and K<sub>2</sub>O/ha, respectively.

rating (0.37 %). The field experiments were laid out in randomized block design with two factors (organic and inorganic fertilizers) and three replications. The first factor comprised of five organic treatments in cowpea viz. FYM @ 10 t/ha (O<sub>1</sub>); FYM @ 5 t/ha + Vermicompost @ 1 t/ha (O<sub>2</sub>); FYM @ 5 t/ha + Poultry manure @ 1 t/ha (O<sub>3</sub>); FYM @ 5 t/ha + Sheep manure @ 2.5 t/ha (O<sub>4</sub>); FYM @ 5 t/ha + Azospirillum @ 0.5 kg/ha (O<sub>5</sub>) and the second factor comprised of three fertilizer levels in cowpea viz. 50% Recommended dose of NPK (F<sub>1</sub>); 75% Recommended dose of NPK (F<sub>2</sub>); 100% Recommended dose of NPK (F<sub>3</sub>). The recommended dose of fertilizer (RDF) adopted for cowpea was 90:45:20 kg N, P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> and K<sub>2</sub>O ha<sup>-1</sup>. Seeds of cowpea, var. Bundel, were sown on 6<sup>th</sup> June 2007 and 7<sup>th</sup> June 2008 on flat bed measuring 3.2 m x 4.2 m at the spacing

of 60 × 10 cm<sup>2</sup> and irrigated timely according to the need of crop. To keep the crop free from weeds, Atrazine @ 3.5 g/liter of water was drenched in the soil of each of each plot. Observations on yield parameters were recorded at the time of harvesting. Quality parameters viz Calcium content, Protein content and Ash content were determined by Dry Ashing Method, Modified Kjeldahl's Method" (Jackson, 1967) and AOAC (1975) respectively. The analysis of variance was carried out using the randomized complete block design (Gomez and Gomaz, 1984).

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

**Fresh fodder yield of cowpea** : The fresh fodder yield of cowpea as influenced by different treatments is presented in Table 1. During the years of 2007-08 and

**Table-3** : Calcium, crude protein and ash content of cowpea as affected by different levels of organic and inorganic fertilizer.

Treatment	Calcium (%)		Crude protein (%)		Ash (%)	
	2007-08	2008-09	2007-08	2008-09	2007-08	2008-09
<b>Organic fertilizer level (t/ha)</b>						
O <sub>1</sub> (10 t FYM/ha)	0.90	0.90	17.5	17.3	4.54	4.53
O <sub>2</sub> (5 t FYM/ha + 1 t Vermicompost/ha)	0.88	0.89	17.4	17.3	4.53	4.54
O <sub>3</sub> (5 t FYM/ha + 1 t Poultry manure/ha)	0.92	0.90	17.5	17.4	4.54	4.54
O <sub>4</sub> (5 t FYM/ha + 2.5 t Sheep manure/ha)	0.88	0.87	17.4	17.2	4.52	4.53
O <sub>5</sub> (5 t FYM/ha + 0.5 kg Azospirillum/ha)	0.72	0.70	17.3	17.2	4.51	4.50
SE m±	0.06	0.06	0.12	0.11	0.04	0.04
CD (p = 0.05)	0.18	0.16	NS	NS	NS	NS
<b>Inorganic fertilizer level (kg/ha)</b>						
F <sub>1</sub> (50% RFD)	0.76	0.75	16.8	16.6	4.47	4.46
F <sub>2</sub> (75% RFD)	0.90	0.88	17.6	17.4	4.55	4.56
F <sub>3</sub> (100% RFD)	0.94	0.93	17.9	17.8	4.56	4.57
SE m±	0.05	0.04	0.13	0.09	0.03	0.03
CD (p = 0.05)	0.14	0.12	0.27	0.24	0.08	0.08

\* RFD = 90, 45 and 20 kg N, P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> and K<sub>2</sub>O/ha, respectively.

2008-09, O<sub>3</sub> (5 t FYM + 1 t poultry manure/ha) recorded significantly higher fresh fodder yield of cowpea over rest of the treatments with a yield superiority of 8.67 and 13.71 per cent, respectively. The results revealed that green fodder yield of cowpea recorded with O<sub>2</sub> (5 t FYM/ha + 1 t Vermicompost/ha), O<sub>1</sub> (10 t FYM/ha), O<sub>4</sub> (5 t FYM/ha + 2.5 t Sheep manure/ha) and O<sub>5</sub> (5 t FYM/ha + 0.5 kg Azospirillum/ha) was statistically similar during both years of experimentation. Amongst inorganic fertilizer levels, both F<sub>3</sub> (100% RFD) and F<sub>2</sub> (75% RFD) statistically similar with one another produced significantly more fresh yield of cowpea than F<sub>1</sub> (50% RFD) with a superiority of 21.93 and 16.02 per cent, respectively during 2007-08 and 22.33 and 19.62 per cent, respectively during 2008-09. Similar findings have also been made by Bali *et al.* (2003). Sujata *et al.* (2008) and Sawargaonkar *et al.* (2008) also reported significant increase in the fodder yield with 100% RFD compared to 75% RFD.

**Dry fodder yield of cowpea** : The data on dry fodder yield of cowpea are presented in Table 2. It was observed from the results that O<sub>3</sub> (5 t FYM + 1 t poultry manure/ha) produced significantly more dry fodder than O<sub>5</sub> (5 t FYM/ha + 0.5 kg Azospirillum/ha) and other treatments, thereby registering yield superiority of 12.34 and 8.55 per cent over O<sub>5</sub> (5 t FYM/ha + 0.5 kg Azospirillum/ha) during 2007-08 and 2008-09, respectively. The data also revealed that the inorganic fertilizer levels F<sub>3</sub> (100% RFD) and F<sub>2</sub> (75 % RFD), at par with one another, recorded significantly higher

fodder yield of cowpea than F<sub>1</sub> (50 % RFD) by registering yield superiority of 22.82 and 16.12 per cent and 22.33 and 19.62 per cent during 2007-08 and 2008-09, respectively. Iqbal *et al.* (2006) also reported that cowpea produced significantly highest mixed forage yield when fertilized with 150, 100 and 100kg N, P and K/ha, respectively compared to 150 kg N and 100 kg P/ha or 150 kg N/ha alone.

**Quality parameters** : The study revealed that the calcium content of cowpea remained highest with O<sub>3</sub> (5 t FYM/ha + 1 t Poultry manure/ha) though this treatment was statistically similar with O<sub>1</sub> (10 t FYM/ha), O<sub>2</sub> (5 t FYM/ha + 1 t Vermicompost/ha) and O<sub>4</sub> (5 t FYM/ha + 2.5 t Sheep manure/ha) but significantly superior to O<sub>5</sub> (5 t FYM/ha + 0.5 kg Azospirillum/ha) (Table 3). It was also found that crude protein content and ash per cent of cowpea remained unaffected by different organic fertilizer combinations. As regards the effect of fertility levels the study revealed a significant increase in the calcium content of fodder cowpea with increase in the levels of fertility up to 75% RFD (Table 3), whereas the crude protein content of cowpea showed significant improvement with increase in the fertility level up to F<sub>2</sub> (75 % RFD). Increase in the crude protein content of cowpea can be attributed to the higher nitrogen content in the legume. Earlier Krishna *et al.* (1998) have also reported significant increase in the crude protein content of cowpea with increasing rates of fertility. The study further revealed that the ash content of cowpea recorded with F<sub>3</sub> (100 % RFD) and F<sub>2</sub> (75 % RFD) was

significantly higher than F<sub>1</sub> (50% RFD). The increase in the ash content of fodder with increasing levels of fertility could be attributed to the fact that ash content is positively correlated with crude protein content (Ajeigbe *et al.*, 2008). The lower values of ash content of cowpea is because cowpea being highly succulent contains higher moisture content.

## CONCLUSION

Both fresh and dry fodder yield of cowpea was significantly higher with application of 5t FYM + 1t poultry manure/ha (O<sub>3</sub>) compared to organic fertilizer combination of FYM and biofertilizer (O<sub>5</sub>). Calcium content in cowpea was significantly higher in FYM + poultry manure combination (O<sub>3</sub>) but crude protein content and ash content did not show any significant variation amongst the treatments. The fresh and dry fodder yields of cowpea recorded with F<sub>3</sub> (100% RFD) and F<sub>2</sub> (75% RFD) were significantly higher than F<sub>1</sub> (50% RFD) during both years of investigation. It was also found that calcium content in cowpea increases significantly with increase in the level of fertility from 50 % RFD (F<sub>1</sub>) to 75 % RFD (F<sub>2</sub>). Both crude protein and ash content also followed similar trend as that of calcium content.

## REFERENCES

1. Ajeigbe, H. A; Ihedioha, D. and Chikoye, D. (2008). Variation in physico-chemical properties of seed of selected improved varieties of cowpea as it relates to industrial utilization of the crop. *African Journal of Biotechnology*, 7(20): 3642-3647.
2. Association of Official Agricultural Chemists (1975). Association of Official Agricultural Chemists, *Official Method of Analysis*. 12<sup>th</sup> Edn. (AOAC: Washington, DC)
3. Aykroyd W R. (1963). ICMR Special Report, Series, No. 42.
4. Bali. Amarjit. S; Wani, M. A. and Shah, M. H. (2003). Growth and grain yield of oat (*Avena sativa* L.) as influenced by varying row spacing and fertility levels. *SKUAST Journal of Research*, 5: 217-223.
5. Gomez K A and Gomez A A. (1984). Statistical procedures for agricultural research: An International Rice Research Institute, A Wiley Inter Science. *John Wiley and Sons Inc.*, New York, USA.
6. Iqbal, A; Ayub, M; Zaman, H. and Ahmad, R. (2006). Impact of nutrient management and legume association on agro-qualitative traits of maize forage. *Pakistan Journal of Botany*, 38(4): 85-92.
7. Jackson, M.L. (1973). *Soil Chemical Analysis*. Prentice Hall of India Pvt. Ltd. New Delhi.
8. Kimiti, J.M., Odee, D.W. & Vanlauwe, B. (2011). Area under grain legumes cultivation and problems faced by smallholder farmers in legume production in the semi-arid eastern Kenya. *Journal of Sustainable Development in Africa*, Vol. 11(4), 2009 ISSN: 1520-5509.
9. Krishna, S.V.; Raikhelkar, S.V. and Sambasiva, R. (1998). Effect of planting pattern and nitrogen on fodder maize (*Zea mays*) intercropped with cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata*). *Indian Journal of Agronomy*, 43(2): 237-240.
10. Sawargaonkar, G.L.; Shelke, D.K.; Shinde, S.A. and Shilpa, Kshirsagar (2008). Performance of *kharif* maize based legume intercropping systems under different fertilizer doses. *International Journal of Agricultural Sciences*, 4(1): 152-155.
11. Singh, B. and Nair T.V.R. (1995). Effect of nitrogen fertilization on nodulation and nitrogen assimilation in cowpea. *Crop Improvement* 22: 133-138.
12. Sujata, M.G; Lingaraju, B. S; Palled, Y. B. and Ashalatha, K.V. (2008). Importance of integrated nutrient management practices in maize under rainfed conditions. *Karnataka Journal of Agricultural Sciences*, 21(3): 334-338.