

EFFECT OF INTEGRATED NUTRIENT MANAGEMENT ON GROWTH, YIELD AND NUTRIENT CONCENTRATION OF SUGARCANE PLANT-RATOON CROP SEQUENCE

Shashank Tyagi^{1*} and S.K. Saini²

¹Department of Agronomy, Bihar Agricultural College, Sabour, Bhagalpur, Bihar

²Department of Agronomy, G.B. Pant University of Agriculture and Technology, Pantnagar

Email: drshashank_tyagi@rediffmail.com

ABSTRACT

An experiment was conducted during spring seasons of 2002-05 at research farm of G.B. Pant University of Agriculture and Technology, Pantnagar to find out the effect of integrated nutrient management on growth, yield and nutrient content of sugarcane-ratoon cropping system. Results revealed that %germination in plant crop and clump population at 45 days after ratooning did not differ significantly under different treatments, T₁₀, however, exhibited highest clump population (16.38 thousand/ha) in ratoon. In plant crop, T₁₀ exhibited significantly higher shoot height (371.9 cm) being at par with that of T_5 . In ration crop, T_{10} resulted in significantly higher shoot height (334.9 cm), being at par with T₅. Plant crop fertilized with T₁₀ produced significantly higher dry matter (296.0 g); being at par with T_5 at 240 days after planting. In ratioon crop, at 240 days after rationing, T_{10} gave dry matter production (328.4 g); being significantly higher than that of T₇. T₁₀ resulted in significantly higher number of internodes/cane (22.7 and 24.4) in plant and ration crop, respectively. In plant crop, highest biological yield (107.1 t/ha) was obtained under T₁₀ being 22.1 and 25.2 % higher than that of T₉ and T₁, respectively. In ratoon crop, T₁₀ registered highest biological yield (109.1 t/ha), being 33.2 and 19.8 % higher than that of T_7 and T_9 , respectively. In plant crop, T_{10} produced higher dry matter (43.44 t/ha) accounting 28.70, 5.79 and 8.95 t/ha from cane, green tops and trash, respectively. In ratoon crop, T₁₀ produced highest dry matter (40.05 t/ha) accounting 25.82, 5.95 and 8.28 t/ha from cane, green tops and trash, respectively.

Key words: Growth, INM, Nutrient content, ratoon, sugarcane, yield.

Sugarcane is a major cash crop of India supporting second largest industry next to textile. Sugarcane productivity is low due to increased cost and reduction productivity of inputs fertilizers/manures and plant protection measures. Excessive use of high analysis chemical fertilizers can drastically impair soil fertility leading to poor soil health and nutrient deficiencies (Singh et al., 2007). Situation warrants for adoption of organic based resources that supply nutrients to plant and in process enrich soil organic carbon. Maintenance of adequate soil organic carbon is of paramount significance. Several workers indicated that substitution of inorganic N through FYM in sugarcane plant significantly increased cane yield of ratoon (Gangwar et al., 2003). Singh and Singh (2002) indicated that integration of FYM and sulphitation pressmud cake with N fertilizer improved cane yield of plant crop with residual effect on ratoon cane apart from rejuvenation of soil health.

In recent times, sustainability of land and crop is in alarming situation, integrated use of organics and inorganic fertilizers need to use nutrients and energy more efficiently than conventional systems (Mader *et*

al., 2002). In order to meet nutrient requirement of sugarcane-ration system, integrated nutrient supply from inorganic fertilizers, organic sources and bio sources seem to be need of time. Keeping issues in view, present investigation was carried out to assess impact of integrated nutrient supply on growth, yield and nutrient content of sugarcane-ration cropping sequence.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

A field experiment was executed during spring seasons of 2002-05 at research centre of G.B. Pant University of Agriculture and Technology, Pantnagar to assess impact of INM on growth, yield and nutrient concentration of sugarcane-ration crop sequence. The experimental plot was silty clay loam in texture, neutral pH, medium in organic carbon, P_2O_5 and K_2O with low in N. Treatments consisted of ten treatments of nutrients either organic or inorganic or combined as depicted in tables imposing in plant and ration crop separately laid out in randomized block design replicated four times. Other farm related operations were carried out as per crop need. Observations were

recorded on growth parameters i.e. germination%, shoot height, number of green leaves/plant, leaf area/shoot, dry matter accumulation/shoot, number of internodes/cane, dry matter yield in cane, green tops, trash and biological yield in both plant and ratoon crops. Further nutrient content i.e. nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium in plant and ratoon crop, respectively were analyzed as per standard procedures.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Effect of INM on growth parameters in sugarcane plant and ratoon crops

Germination% and clump population : Variation in germination at 30 days after planting due to different treatments was not significant. T_{10} gave the highest germination (43.8 %) at 30 days after planting. However, T_1 exhibited minimum germination (32.8 %). Several workers (Navale *et al.*, 1995 and Shinde *et al.*, 2002) did not observe significant variations in germination owing to integrated nutrient supply. Number of clumps in ratoon crop varied from 14.16 under T_7 to 16.38 thousand ha⁻¹ under T_{10} , though the differences among treatments were non-significant at 45 days after ratooning.

Shoot height: Shoot height increased with advancement in crop age, being maximum at 240 days after planting. At this stage, T₁₀ exhibited higher shoot height (371.9 cm); being at par with T₅ or T₆ but significantly higher than other treatments. At this stage, T₇ produced lowest shoot height (304.8 cm), however, differences among T₇, T₈ and T₉ were not significant and at par with T₁. At 180 days after ratooning, T₁₀ exhibited significantly higher shoot height (334.9 cm) than rest of the treatments and was at par with T_5 , T_6 , T_2 and T₃. Lowest shoot height (300.6 cm) was noted with T₇. Better growth under integrated nutrient supply was reflected in terms of more shoot height under T₁₀ at grand growth phase. Maximum shoot height in plant and ratoon crops was recorded under T₁₀ which was 42.3, 67.1 and 51.0 cm more than that of T_1 , T_7 and T_8 in plant crop and 19.1, 34.3 and 22.9 cm more than that of T₁, T₇ and T₄ in ratoon crop, respectively. These are in conformity with findings of Jayabal Chockalingam (1990) and Tamilselvan and Jaybal (1993)

Number of green leaves : At 150 days after planting, T_{10} significantly attained maximum number of green leaves (13.4) as compared to other treatments. Differences between T_6 and T_3 were significant at this stage, however, T_7 registered minimum value (9.9),

being at par with T_{9} , followed by T_{8} . At 150 days of ratooning, T_{10} exhibited significantly higher number of green leaves (12.5) than that of other treatments. However, differences between T_{1} and T_{4} and T_{5} and T_{6} were not significant. T_{7} resulted in lowest number of green leaves (10.2); being at par with T_{8} .

Leaf area per shoot : At 210 days after planting, T_{10} gave highest leaf area per shoot (3553 cm²) as compared to rest of the treatments. T_1 gave significantly higher leaf area per shoot (3237 cm²) as compared to T_3 . However, T_7 recorded lowest leaf area per shoot (2980 cm²). Whereas, differences in leaf area per shoot between T_8 and T_9 were significant. T_5 or T_6 produced more leaf area per shoot than T_2 or T_3 . At 210 days after ratooning, maximum leaf area (3319 cm²) was noted from T_{10} ; being significantly superior to other treatments. T_7 recorded minimum leaf area (2737 cm²). T_4 gave higher leaf area per shoot (2947 cm²) than that of T_9 . T_2 exhibited higher leaf area (3069 cm²) as compared to T_1 .

Dry matter accumulation per shoot: At 240 days after planting, T₁₀ produced significantly higher dry matter accumulation per shoot (296.0 g), being at par with T₅ or T₆. However, differences in dry matter accumulation per shoot between T_2 or T_3 and T_1 were not significant at this stage. T₁ produced higher dry matter than that of T_2 or T_3 at this stage, whereas, lowest dry matter (245.0 g) was recorded with T₇ being at par with T₈ or T₉. Total dry matter, an integral part of crop growth rate over the growing period is related to economic yield reflecting harvest index (Yoshida, 1972). At 240 days after ratooning, T₁₀ gave significantly higher dry matter per shoot (328.4 g) while lowest dry matter (302.2 g) was obtained with T₇. Lower dry matter under T₇ and T₈ may be due to 50% NPK in inorganic fertilizers. Dry matter is function of leaf area index and number and duration of leaves and efficiency of leaf to convert radiant energy to chemical energy. Higher dry matter under T₁₀ over rest of the treatments including control may be due to more leaf area per shoot.

Number of internodes per cane: T_{10} exhibited significantly higher number of internodes per cane (22.7); being at par with T_5 or T_6 but higher than that of rest of the treatments. Differences between T_1 and T_2 or T_3 were not significant. T_9 , however, produced minimum value (20.1). The differences between T_7 and T_8 were also not significant. T_{10} attained significantly higher number of internodes per cane (24.4); being at par with T_5 and T_6 but was superior to rest of the

Table-1: Effect of different integrated nutrient management treatments on growth parameters of sugarcane plant and ratoon crop.

| | Treatments | ø | Germination (%) | Clump popula- tion (000/ha | Shoot he (cm) | Shoot height (cm) | Number of green leaves | of green | Leaf area/shoot (cm²) | a/shoot n ²) | Dry matter/shoot (g) | ter/shoot 3) | Number of internodes/cane | es/cane |
|-----------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------|-------------------------------------|---------------|-------------------|---------------------------|----------|--------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------|---------------------------|---------|
| | Plant | Ratoon | Plant | Ratoon | Plant | Ratoon | Plant | Ratoon | Plant | Ratoon | Plant | Ratoon | Plant | Ratoon |
| 7 | 100% NPK | 100% NPK | 32.8 | 15.13 | 329.6 | 315.8 | 12.5 | 11.4 | 3237 | 3013 | 273.5 | 312.8 | 21.8 | 21.9 |
| T ₂ | 75% NPK+25% N (FYM) | 100% NPK + trash | 36.4 | 15.55 | 344.2 | 320.9 | 12.4 | 11.2 | 3155 | 3069 | 270.5 | 316.4 | 21.6 | 23.1 |
| T ₃ | 75% NPK+25% N (CSPM) | 100% NPK + trash | 37.0 | 15.83 | 349.2 | 322.9 | 12.1 | 11.4 | 3185 | 3045 | 267.8 | 319.6 | 21.4 | 23.5 |
| T ₄ | 100% NPK | 75% NPK + GM | 33.0 | 15.00 | 335.4 | 312.0 | 12.8 | 10.9 | 3255 | 2947 | 275.2 | 307.0 | 22.0 | 22.6 |
| T ₅ | 75% NPK+25% N (FYM)+ BF | 100% NPK + trash + BF | 9.68 | 16.11 | 362.7 | 325.1 | 12.8 | 11.6 | 3340 | 3274 | 284.6 | 320.5 | 22.4 | 23.7 |
| Te | 75% NPK+25% N (CSPM)+ BF | 100% NPK + trash+ BF | 40.5 | 16.25 | 366.9 | 330.3 | 13.0 | 11.9 | 3375 | 3260 | 280.0 | 322.6 | 22.2 | 23.9 |
| T7 | 50% NPK+25% N (FYM)+ BF | 50% NPK + GM+ BF | 35.9 | 14.16 | 304.8 | 300.6 | 6.6 | 10.2 | 2980 | 2737 | 245.0 | 302.2 | 20.9 | 21.2 |
| Т ₈ | 50% NPK+25% N (CSPM)+ BF | 50% NPK + GM + BF | 36.3 | 14.44 | 320.9 | 305.8 | 11.8 | 10.4 | 3086 | 2769 | 265.1 | 307.5 | 20.6 | 21.6 |
| T ₉ | 50% NPK+25% N (VC)+ BF | 75% NPK +25% N (VC) + BF | 35.5 | 15.14 | 315.3 | 317.3 | 10.6 | 11.1 | 3021 | 2910 | 254.7 | 310.1 | 20.1 | 22.4 |
| T ₁₀ | 100%NPK+25% N (FYM)+ BF | 100% NPK+ trash+ BF | 43.8 | 16.38 | 371.9 | 334.9 | 13.4 | 12.5 | 3553 | 3319 | 296.0 | 328.4 | 22.7 | 24.4 |
| | SEM± | | 2.9 | 69.0 | 8.5 | 6.4 | 0.20 | 0.18 | 8.3 | 7.2 | 9.0 | 8.9 | 0.33 | 0.26 |
| | CD (P=0.05) | 2) | SN | NS | 24.9 | 18.6 | 09.0 | 0.55 | 24.3 | 21.0 | 26.1 | NS | 6.0 | 0.75 |

Table-2: Effect of integrated nutrient management on dry matter yield, biological yield and nitrogen content of sugarcane plant and ratoon crop.

| | Treatments | 6 | | ō | y matter | Dry matter yield (t/ha) | a) | | Biological yield (t/ha | gical (t/ha) | | Z | itrogen c | Nitrogen content (%) | (6 | |
|-----------------|--------------------------|-------------------------|-------|---------------|----------|-------------------------|---------------|-------|---------------------------|-----------------|-------|---------------|-----------|----------------------|---------------|-------|
| | Plant | Ratoon | | Plant | | | Ratoon | | Plant | Ratoon | | Plant | | | Ratoon | |
| | | | Cane | Green Tops | Trash | Cane | Green Tops | Trash | | | Cane | Green Tops | Trash | Cane | Green Tops | Trash |
| F | 100% NPK | 100% NPK | 26.71 | 4.64 | 7.71 | 23.76 | 4.92 | 6.91 | 85.5 | 93.3 | 0.502 | 0.866 | 0.519 | 0.498 | 0.712 | 0.506 |
| 72 | 75% NPK+25% N (FYM) | 100% NPK+ trash | 26.40 | 4.59 | 69.9 | 24.56 | 5.27 | 7.49 | 8.96 | 94.8 | 0.485 | 0.792 | 0.496 | 0.524 | 0.801 | 0.539 |
| T ₃ | 75% NPK+25% N (CSPM) | 100% NPK+ trash | 26.27 | 4.55 | 6.63 | 24.84 | 5.12 | 7.63 | 94.6 | 98.7 | 0.478 | 0.769 | 0.487 | 0.522 | 0.784 | 0.526 |
| T | 100% NPK | 75% NPK+ GM | 26.79 | 4.67 | 7.76 | 22.99 | 4.63 | 5.92 | 0.98 | 9.68 | 0.507 | 0.881 | 0.516 | 0.494 | 0.689 | 0.496 |
| T | 75% NPK+25% N (FYM)+ BF | 100% NPK+ trash+ BF | 27.85 | 5.72 | 8.90 | 25.08 | 5.86 | 8.17 | 103.3 | 102.9 | 0.530 | 0.918 | 0.540 | 0.540 | 0.838 | 0.550 |
| Te | 75% NPK+25% N (CSPM)+ BF | 100% NPK+ trash+ BF | 27.66 | 5.69 | 8.81 | 25.24 | 5.78 | 7.96 | 101.0 | 101.5 | 0.514 | 906.0 | 0.529 | 0.527 | 0.826 | 0.549 |
| T ₇ | 50% NPK+25% N (FYM)+ BF | 50% NPK+ GM+ BF | 25.42 | 3.52 | 6.53 | 22.50 | 4.49 | 6.30 | 6.06 | 81.9 | 0.460 | 0.750 | 0.483 | 0.455 | 0.653 | 0.477 |
| T ₈ | 50% NPK+25% N (CSPM)+ BF | 50% NPK+ GM+ BF | 24.07 | 3.40 | 5.40 | 22.64 | 4.27 | 2.87 | 8.06 | 82.9 | 0.435 | 0.733 | 0.457 | 0.420 | 0.625 | 0.439 |
| T ₉ | 50% NPK+25% N (VC)+ BF | 75% NPK+ 25% N (VC)+ BF | 25.16 | 3.49 | 5.45 | 23.27 | 4.69 | 6.54 | 7.78 | 91.0 | 0.402 | 0.705 | 0.429 | 0.490 | 0.670 | 0.493 |
| T ₁₀ | 100%NPK+25% N (FYM)+ BF | 100% NPK+ trash+ BF | 28.70 | 5.79 | 8.95 | 25.82 | 5.95 | 8.28 | 107.1 | 109.1 | 0.550 | 0.935 | 0.542 | 0.570 | 0.856 | 0.585 |
| | SEm± | | 0.75 | 0.09 | 0.08 | 0.76 | 0.094 | 0.26 | 3.2 | 2.7 | 0.006 | 0.008 | 0.011 | 0.008 | 0.01 | 900.0 |
| | CD (P=0.05) | (1 | 2.1 | 0.27 | 0.24 | 2.2 | 0.27 | 0.77 | 9.3 | 8.0 | 0.017 | 0.025 | 0.032 | 0.024 | 0.029 | 0.018 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

BF-Biofertilizer, VC-vermicompost, GM-Green manuring, CSPM-Carboneous sulphitation pressmud, FYM-Farm yard Manure

Biofertilizer, VC-vermicompost, GM-Green manuring, CSPM-Carboneous sulphitation pressmud,

Table-3: Effect of integrated nutrient management on phosphorus and potassium content of sugarcane plant and ratoon crop.

| | Treatments | s | | ъ. | Phosphorus content | content (| (%) | | | ъ. | Potassium content (%) | content (% | <u></u> | |
|-----------------|--------------------------|-----------------------|--------|---------------|--------------------|-----------|---------------|--------|-------|---------------|-----------------------|------------|---------------|-------|
| | Plant | Ratoon | | Plant | | | Ratoon | | | Plant | | | Ratoon | |
| | | | Cane | Green Tops | Trash | Cane | Green Tops | Trash | Cane | Green Tops | Trash | Cane | Green Tops | Trash |
| ᆮ | 100% NPK | 100% NPK | 0.0618 | 0.0761 | 0.0721 | 0.0640 | 0.0794 | 0.0754 | 0.736 | 1.009 | 0.620 | 0.740 | 1.060 | 9/9:0 |
| T ₂ | 75% NPK+25% N (FYM) | 100% NPK+ trash | 0.0602 | 0.0749 | 0.0694 | 0.0648 | 0.0802 | 0.0771 | 0.730 | 1.000 | 0.616 | 0.750 | 1.088 | 0.685 |
| T ₃ | 75% NPK+25% N (CSPM) | 100% NPK+ trash | 0.0610 | 0.0755 | 0.0705 | 0.0654 | 0.0842 | 0.0779 | 0.721 | 0.992 | 0.610 | 0.746 | 1.069 | 0.680 |
| T ₄ | 100% NPK | 75% NPK+ GM | 0.0623 | 0.0802 | 0.0729 | 0.0631 | 0.0789 | 0.0744 | 0.740 | 1.028 | 0.625 | 0.715 | 1.033 | 0.670 |
| T | 75% NPK+25% N (FYM)+BF | 100% NPK+ trash+ BF | 0.0631 | 0.0842 | 0.0748 | 0.0667 | 0.0881 | 0.0792 | 0.767 | 1.047 | 0.642 | 0.775 | 1.106 | 0.712 |
| Te | 75% NPK+25% N (CSPM)+ BF | 100% NPK+ trash+ BF | 0.0644 | 0.0867 | 0.0762 | 0.0675 | 9060.0 | 0.0807 | 0.753 | 1.032 | 0.638 | 0.768 | 1.090 | 0.698 |
| T ₇ | 50% NPK+25% N (FYM)+BF | 50% NPK+ GM+ BF | 0.0567 | 0.0730 | 0.0671 | 0.0577 | 0.0751 | 0.0694 | 0.705 | 0.984 | 0.598 | 0.685 | 0.965 | 0.665 |
| T ₈ | 50% NPK+25% N (CSPM)+ BF | 50% NPK+ GM+ BF | 0.0589 | 0.0746 | 0.0679 | 0.0597 | 0.0770 | 0.0721 | 0.693 | 0.965 | 0.579 | 0.703 | 0.950 | 0.630 |
| T ₉ | 50% NPK+25% N (VC)+ BF | 75% NPK+25% N (VC)+BF | 0.0547 | 0.0711 | 0.0644 | 0.0619 | 0.0785 | 0.0742 | 0.675 | 096'0 | 0.571 | 0.731 | 0.967 | 0.670 |
| T ₁₀ | 100%NPK+25% N (FYM)+ BF | 100% NPK+ trash+ BF | 2990'0 | 0.0896 | 0.0802 | 0.0693 | 0.0933 | 0.0848 | 0.782 | 1.060 | 0.658 | 0.794 | 1.080 | 0.718 |
| | SEm± | | 9000'0 | 0.0007 | 0.0007 | 9000.0 | 0.0007 | 900000 | 200'0 | 200'0 | 0.005 | 600'0 | 0.009 | 600.0 |
| | CD (P=0.05) | 2) | 0.001 | 0.002 | 0.002 | 0.0018 | 0.0021 | 0.0018 | 0.022 | 0.020 | 0.016 | 0.027 | 0.027 | 0.026 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

treatments. Differences between T_2 and T_3 and T_1 and T_4 were not significant. T_7 exhibited minimum value (21.2); being at par with that of T_8 .

Effect of INM on the yields in sugarcane plant and ration crops

Biological yield: Highest biological yield (107.1 t/ha) was noted in T₁₀ being at par with T₅ or T₆ but significantly higher than rest of the treatments. Differences between T₂ and T₃ were not significant. T₁ recorded significantly lower value (85.5 t/ha) though at par with that of T₉ or T₈ or T₇. T₁₀ significantly exhibited higher biological yield (109.1 t/ha) of ratoon crop which was at par with T₅ and T₆ but was superior to T₇ (81.9 t/ha), followed by T₈ and T₄. Yield obtained under above treatment was 25.2, 24.5 and 22.1 per cent higher than that of T₁, T₄ and T₉, respectively in plant crop and 16.9, 21.7 and 19.8 per cent higher in ratoon crop, respectively. Higher biological yield of plant and ratoon crop under above treatment is attributed to more green tops and trash yield apart from higher cane yield. Green top yield under T₁₀ was 23.7 and 16.6% higher than that of T_1 in plant and ration crops, respectively. Respective values were 10.9 and 10.6 t/ha in plant and ratoon crop under T₁₀. Higher yield under above treatment may also be attributed to more nutrient

Dry matter yield in cane: T_{10} resulted in maximum dry mater yield in cane (28.70 t/ha) though at par with that of T_5 or T_6 . The differences under T_1 and T_2 or T_3 were not significant but significantly superior to T_8 which gave lowest dry matter (24.07 t/ha). Differences between T_7 and T_9 were non-significant. The highest dry matter yield in ratoon crop (25.83 t/ha) was recorded with T_{10} , which was at par with T_5 and T_6 . However, T_7 exhibited minimum cane dry matter yield (22.50 t/ha).

Dry matter yield in green tops: T_{10} exhibited significantly more dry matter accumulation in green tops (5.79 t/ha) but at par with T_5 or T_6 . However, T_8 exhibited minimum dry matter accumulation (3.40 t/ha); being at par with T_9 or T_7 which resulted in lower dry matter than that of T_1 (4.64 t/ha). The differences between T_2 and T_3 were not significant. T_{10} resulted in significantly higher green tops dry matter yield (5.95 t/ha) in ratoon crop which was at par with that of T_5 and T_7 . However, T_8 exhibited lowest green tops dry matter yield (4.27 t/ha); being at par with T_7 .

Dry matter yield in trash : Maximum dry matter (8.95 t/ha) in trash was noted under T_{10} though at par with T_5 or T_6 but was superior to rest of the treatments. T_8 resulted in lowest dry matter accumulation in trash

(5.40 t/ha) being at par with that of T_9 . T_1 resulted in higher dry matter in trash (7.71 t/ha) as compared to T_2 or T_3 . T_{10} resulted in significantly higher trash dry matter (8.28 t/ha); being at par with T_5 , T_6 and T_3 but was higher than rest of the treatments. However, lowest value (5.87 t/ha) was recorded with T_8 , being at par with T_7 and T_9 . Differences between T_1 and T_4 were also significant. T_{10} produced higher dry matter (43.44 t/ha) in plant crop accounting 28.70, 5.79 and 8.95 t/ha and 40.05 t/ha in ratioon accounting 25.82, 5.95 and 8.28 t/ha from cane, green tops and trash, respectively.

Effect of INM on the nutrient content in sugarcane plant and ration crops

Nitrogen content in cane, green tops and trash: Nitrogen content was more in green tops, followed by trash and then cane, irrespective of treatments. T₁₀ exhibited significantly higher N content of 0.550, 0.935 and 0.542 %, however, T₉ recorded the lowest values of 0.402, 0.705 and 0.429 % in cane, green tops and trash, respectively. The differences between T₃ and T₁ were significant, except in trash. T₁₀ recorded significantly higher N concentration of 0.570, 0.856 and 0.585 % in cane, green tops and trash, respectively in ration crop. However, differences in N content between T₂ and T₃; T₄ and T₉ and T₅ and T₆ were not significant. Ratoon crop exhibited lowest concentration of 0.420, 0.625 and 0.439 % in cane, green tops and trash, respectively under T₈, though at par with that of T_7 in green tops only.

Phosphorus content in cane, green tops and trash:

 T_{10} significantly exhibited higher P content of 0.0667, 0.0896 and 0.0802 % in cane, green tops and trash, respectively as compared to other treatments. However, minimum P content of 0.0547, 0.0711 and 0.0644 % was noted in cane, green tops and trash, respectively under T_9 . Differences between T_1 and T_3 were, however, non-significant. T_{10} gave higher P concentration of 0.0693, 0.0933 and 0.0848 % in cane, green tops and trash, respectively in ratoon crop. Whereas, minimum P concentration of 0.577, 0.0751 and 0.0694 % was recorded in cane, green tops and trash, respectively under T_7 . The differences between T_1 and T_4 and T_4 and T_9 were not significant.

Potassium content in cane, green tops and trash:

 T_{10} ; being at par with T_5 recorded significantly higher K (0.782, 1.060 and 0.658 %) in cane, green tops and trash, respectively than that of T_9 which exhibited lowest K content of 0.675, 0.960 and 0.571 % of cane, green tops and trash, respectively. Differences

between T_1 and T_2 or T_3 were not significant. T_{10} resulted in higher K concentration (0.794 and 0.718 % in cane and trash, respectively) in ratoon crop. Lowest K concentration (0.950 and 0.630 % in green tops and trash, respectively) was observed under T_8 . Minimum K concentration (0.685 %) in cane was under T_7 . Differences between T_4 and T_6 were significant but differences between T_2 and T_3 were not significant. Higher nutrient concentration in different plant parts under above treatment might be due to increased nutrients concentration in soil solution owing to better soil condition for plant growth.

From this study, it might be concluded that integrated nutrient management improved growth, yield and nutrient content of sugarcane plant-ration cropping system exhibiting superior performance under T_{10} .

REFERENCES

- Gangwar, K.S., Sharma, S.K. and Tomar, O.K. (2003). Integrated nutrient management in late planted sugarcane (*Saccharum officinarum*)-wheat (*Triticum aestivum*) system in western Uttar Pradesh. *Indian Journal of Agronomy* 48 (1): 20-22.
- Jayabal, V. and Chockalingam, S. (1990). Effect of nitrogen, phosphate and magnesium on yield and quality of sugarcane. *Indian Sugar* 40 (3): 165-167.
- Mader, P., Fliebach, D., Dubois, L., Gunst, P. and Fried, Nuggli, U. (2002). Soil fertility bio-diversity in organic farming. *Current Science* 296: 1694-1697.
- Navale, A.M., Shinde, D.B., Vaidya, B.R. and Jadhar, S.B. (1995). Effect of azotobacter and azospirillum inoculums under graded levels of N on growth and yield of sugarcane (Saccharum officinarum). Indian Journal of Agronomy 40 (4): 665-669.
- Shinde, D.B., Banger, N.D. and Pawar, N.B. (2002). Comparative efficiency of legumes and microbial inoculums on decomposition of sugarcane trash in-situ. *Journal of Maharashtra Agricultural University* 27 (2):132-134.
- Singh T. and Singh P.N. (2002). Effect of integrated nutrient management on soil fertility status and productivity of sugarcane grown under sugarcane cropping sequence. *Indian Journal of Sugarcane Technology* 67: 123-25.
- Singh, D., Yadav, R.L., Singh, J.P. and Pandey, R. (2003). Effect of intercropped prickly sesban (Sesbania cannabina) green manure and nitrogen levels on growth and yield of sugarcane. Indian Journal of Agricultural Science 73 (12): 664-667.
- Tamilselvan, N. and Jaybal, V. (1993). Maximization of cane yield of sugarcane (*Saccharum officinarum*) under late planted condition. *Indian Journal of* Agronomy 38 (1): 78-81.
- 9. Yoshida, S. (1972). Physiological aspects of grain yield. *Annual Review of Plant Physiology* 23: 437-464.