



## COMBINED EFFECT OF CHEMICAL FERTILIZERS, ORGANIC MANURES AND BIO-FERTILIZERS ON JUICE QUALITY OF SUGARCANE-RATOON CROPPING SYSTEM

Shashank Tyagi<sup>1\*</sup> and S.K. Saini<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Agronomy, Bihar Agricultural College, Sabour, Bhagalpur, Bihar

<sup>2</sup>Department of Agronomy, G.B. Pant University of Agriculture and Technology, Pantnagar, Uttarakhand

\*Corresponding Author (Shashank Tyagi) E-mail : [drshashank\\_tyagi@rediffmail.com](mailto:drshashank_tyagi@rediffmail.com)

### ABSTRACT

An experiment was conducted at Crop research centre of G.B. Pant University of Agriculture and Technology, Pantnagar during spring seasons from 2002-05 to assess the combined effect of chemical fertilizers, organic manures and bio-fertilizers on juice quality of sugarcane-ratoon cropping system. Results indicated that juice extraction per cent varied significantly owing to different nutrient management practices at harvest stage of both plant and ratoon crops. Juice extraction per cent tended to decrease with crop age. The maximum juice extraction of 54.6 and 52.4 % in plant and ratoon crops, respectively was recorded under T<sub>10</sub> being significantly higher than that of T<sub>7</sub> and T<sub>1</sub>. Juice quality parameters like juice sucrose, purity coefficient and reducing sugars at harvest stage in plant crop did not vary significantly under different treatments. In plant crop, at harvest (February), T<sub>9</sub> resulted in highest juice brix (19.3%), sucrose (15.79%), available sugar (10.50%), purity coefficient (81.8%) and reducing sugars (1.18%). In ratoon crops, juice quality parameters like brix, sucrose, available sugar and reducing sugars were significantly influenced by different treatments. T<sub>7</sub> exhibited higher juice brix (19.8%), sucrose (16.21%), available sugar (10.78%) and purity coefficient (83.9%).

**Key words :** Sugarcane, Juice quality, sucrose, available sugar, INM

Sugarcane is very large nutrient demanding crop and removes large amount of nutrients from the soil and leads to create the deficiencies of the essential nutrients in the soil and disturbs the nutrient balance into soil. Therefore, there is pre-requisite to add sufficient substitution of the major portion of chemical fertilizers with other alternatives chiefly organic manures like FYM, pressmud, vermicompost, green manuring in order to enhancement in juice quality improvement in terms of brix, sucrose, sugar % and CCS% in cane juice.

Espada and Tapay (1982) observed non significant response of sugarcane crop to trash and N-fertilization in terms of sugar yield and cane quality. Shinde *et al.* (1990) from Pune noted that incorporation of sugarcane trash had no adverse effect on juice quality. Reddy *et al.* (2001) reported that juice sucrose under trash incorporation with fungal culture (18.88%) was at par with that of trash burning (18.95%) indicating no influence of decomposing material on juice sucrose content. Khandagave (2002) observed that incorporation of trash @ 8 t ha<sup>-1</sup> in combination with urea and cellulolytic decomposing micro-

organisms significantly increased the commercial cane sugar yield by 11.67 per cent over control.

Excess nitrogen prolongs vegetative growth, delays maturity/ ripening phase and lowers juice purity (Jeyaraman *et al.*, 2003). The lower juice purity may be due to more accumulation of monosaccharide in juice (Kapoor *et al.*, 1993). More juice extraction was observed with increasing nutrient supply of NPK fertilizers because of more succulency with less cane fibre and more juice in cane stalk. These results are in accordance with the findings of Patel *et al.* (2003). Keeping these issues in mind, the present investigation was undertaken to test the effect of integrated use of nutrient sources on juice quality of sugarcane-ratoon cropping system.

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

A field experiment was conducted during spring seasons of 2002-05 at Crop Research Center of G.B. Pant University of Agriculture and Technology, Pantnagar in sugarcane plant-ratoon cropping sequence. The fertility status of the experimental plot was silty clay loam, neutral in reaction, low in N,

medium in organic C, available  $P_2O_5$  and  $K_2O$ . Treatments were placed in sugarcane plant and ratoon crops as per standard randomized block design with four replications depicted in tables. All the agronomical practices were followed as per need of sugarcane plant-ratoon crops. Observations were recorded on juice quality parameters like juice extraction%, corrected brix%, sucrose%, reducing sugar%, purity coefficient% and available sugar% in cane juice as described by Spencer and Meade (1955).

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

### Effect of INM on juice quality parameters in plant cane

**Juice extraction per cent :** The data revealed that integrated nutrient management practice had significant effect on juice extraction per cent at all the stages. Juice extraction per cent decreased with the advancement of crop age, irrespective of treatments. Highest juice extraction per cent (54.6%) was noted in the month of February (harvest) with  $T_{10}$ , followed by  $T_5$  or  $T_6$  and  $T_2$ . However, juice extraction per cent (42.0%) was noted from  $T_9$ ; being statistically at par with that of  $T_7$  or  $T_8$  at all the stages. However, differences in juice extraction per cent between  $T_3$  and  $T_1$  were not significant except in month of November where former exhibited higher juice extraction per cent (46.4%) than that of later (39.8%). Similar trend was recorded at other stages.

**Corrected brix :** Differences in brix per cent owing to different treatments were significant at all the growth stages except in month of November. Brix per cent tended to increase with advancement in crop age. At harvest stage (February), crop fertilized with  $T_9$  recorded significantly higher brix (19.3%); being at par with that of  $T_8$  or  $T_7$  but significantly higher than rest of the treatments. The minimum brix (17.9%) was however, observed where crop was fertilized with  $T_{10}$  which was at par with that of  $T_1$  and  $T_5$  or  $T_6$ . The differences in brix per cent between  $T_2$  and  $T_3$  were non-significant at all stages. Similar trend was obtained at other growth stages.

**Sucrose per cent :** Integrated use of different nutrient sources had non significant effect on the sucrose per cent in cane juice except in month of December and January. Sucrose per cent of cane juice increased with advancement of crop age. In month of February,  $T_9$  exhibited maximum juice sucrose per cent (15.79%). However, crop fertilized with  $T_{10}$  recorded lowest juice

sucrose content (14.40%). Similar trend was recorded at other growth stages.

**Available sugar per cent :** Differences in available sugar per cent owing to different treatments were significant at all the stages. Available sugar per cent tended to increase with crop age. At harvest stage (February), the maximum available sugar content (10.50%) was noted with  $T_9$  which was significantly higher than other treatments. However, minimum available sugar per cent (9.49%) was noted with  $T_{10}$  which was statistically at par with that of  $T_1$  and  $T_5$ . The differences in available sugar content between  $T_6$  and  $T_2$  were not significant. Similar trend was recorded at other stages.

**Purity coefficient (%) :** Variations in purity coefficient owing to different nutrient management sources were non-significant at all the stage except in November. The juice purity tended to increase with advancement of crop age and reached maximum at harvest (February). In month of February, crop receiving  $T_9$  recorded highest purity coefficient (81.8%), however, lower purity coefficient (80.2%) was noted from  $T_1$ . Similar trend was found at other stages.

**Reducing sugars (%) :** Variations in reducing sugars content of cane juice due to different nutrient management practices were non-significant at all the growth stages. Reducing sugars per cent tended to decrease with advancement in crop age and reached maximum concentration at harvest stage. The perusal of data inferred that in month of February (harvest),  $T_{10}$  recorded maximum concentration of reducing sugars (1.18%). However, crop fertilized with  $T_9$  exhibited minimum concentration of reducing sugars (1.05%) in cane juice. Similar trend was noted at other stages.

### Effect of INM on juice quality parameters in sugarcane ratoon

**Juice extraction per cent :** The differences in juice extraction per cent due to different treatments were not significant at all the stages of ratoon crop, except in month of January. Juice extraction per cent decreased with the advancement in crop age, irrespective of treatments. In month of January,  $T_{10}$  exhibited significantly higher juice extraction per cent (52.4%) which was at par with that of  $T_6$ ,  $T_5$ ,  $T_3$ ,  $T_2$  and  $T_1$ . However, lowest higher juice extraction per cent (38.2%) was noted with  $T_7$ . This might be because of more succulency with less cane fibre and more juice in cane stalk under higher NPK fertilizer doses. These

**Table-1:** Effect of different treatments on juice extraction% and brix% in sugarcane plant and ratoon crop.

Treatments			Juice extraction %										Brix %					
	Plant	Ratoon	Plant					Ratoon					Plant			Ratoon		
			Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	Nov	Dec	Jan	
T <sub>1</sub>	100% NPK	100% NPK	44.7	41.6	40.3	39.8	51.0	49.7	45.2	45.2	15.9	16.7	17.4	18.1	17.2	17.6	18.2	
T <sub>2</sub>	75% NPK+25% N (FYM)	100% NPK+trash	54.2	49.3	48.0	47.6	51.6	50.1	46.3	46.3	16.3	17.2	17.9	18.5	17.8	18.1	18.5	
T <sub>3</sub>	75% NPK+25% N (CSPM)	100% NPK+trash	53.3	48.1	47.6	46.4	52.8	50.7	47.8	47.8	16.4	17.5	18.0	18.6	17.4	17.8	18.3	
T <sub>4</sub>	100% NPK	75% NPK+GM	45.0	42.6	41.4	40.2	48.7	45.0	42.6	42.6	15.9	16.6	17.3	18.1	18.4	1.1	19.4	
T <sub>5</sub>	75% NPK+25% N (FYM)+BF	100% NPK+trash+BF	56.4	51.8	50.6	49.5	52.9	51.8	49.3	49.3	16.0	17.0	17.4	18.2	18.1	18.5	19.1	
T <sub>6</sub>	75% NPK+25% N (CSPM)+BF	100% NPK+trash+BF	54.5	50.3	49.5	48.7	55.4	53.0	50.3	50.3	16.2	17.2	17.7	18.3	18.0	18.3	18.8	
T <sub>7</sub>	50% NPK+25% N (FYM)+ BF	50% NPK+GM+BF	52.6	47.9	46.9	45.5	45.0	43.6	38.3	38.3	16.7	18.0	18.2	18.9	19.2	19.6	19.8	
T <sub>8</sub>	50% NPK+25% N (CSPM)+BF	50% NPK+GM+BF	50.4	45.9	44.8	43.4	47.3	43.5	40.7	40.7	16.9	18.2	18.6	19.1	18.6	19.4	19.7	
T <sub>9</sub>	50% NPK+25% N (VC)+BF	75% NPK+ 25% N (VC)+ BF	48.0	44.5	43.1	42.0	49.2	47.2	44.0	44.0	17.2	18.4	18.8	19.3	18.5	19.2	19.5	
T <sub>10</sub>	100% NPK+25% N (FYM)+ BF	100% NPK+trash+BF	57.8	56.0	55.3	54.6	56.2	54.6	52.4	52.4	15.0	16.4	17.0	17.9	18.3	18.8	19.3	
SEm±			1.9	2.2	2.5	2.5	3.1	3.8	2.7	2.7	0.55	0.15	0.14	0.15	0.12	0.13	0.14	
CD (P=0.05)			5.7	6.5	7.5	7.2	NS	NS	7.9	7.9	NS	0.44	0.42	0.44	0.34	0.39	0.43	

**Table-2:** Effect of different treatments on juice sucrose% and available sugar% in sugarcane plant and ratoon crop.

Treatments			Juice sucrose %										Available sugar %					
	Plant	Ratoon	Plant					Ratoon					Plant			Ratoon		
			Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	Nov	Dec	Jan	
T <sub>1</sub>	100% NPK	100% NPK	11.32	13.37	13.92	14.52	13.73	14.33	15.22	15.22	6.92	8.75	9.14	9.55	9.01	9.49	10.24	
T <sub>2</sub>	75% NPK+25% N (FYM)	100% NPK+ trash	11.68	13.75	14.46	15.07	14.16	14.70	15.46	15.46	7.17	9.00	9.55	10.00	9.26	9.72	10.40	
T <sub>3</sub>	75% NPK+25% N (CSPM)	100% NPK+ trash	11.72	14.22	14.58	15.18	13.85	14.56	15.37	15.37	7.18	9.39	9.64	10.08	9.04	9.65	10.36	
T <sub>4</sub>	100% NPK	75% NPK+ GM	11.25	13.05	13.66	14.46	14.46	15.03	15.89	15.89	6.85	8.46	8.90	9.49	9.38	9.77	10.57	
T <sub>5</sub>	75% NPK+25% N (FYM)+BF	100% NPK+ trash+ BF	11.39	13.43	13.91	14.64	14.27	14.83	15.68	15.68	6.96	8.76	9.10	9.64	9.25	9.74	10.44	
T <sub>6</sub>	75% NPK+25% N (CSPM)+BF	100% NPK+ trash+ BF	11.56	13.86	14.41	14.96	14.21	14.70	15.56	15.56	7.08	9.14	9.52	9.94	9.26	9.66	10.40	
T <sub>7</sub>	50% NPK+25% N (FYM)+BF	50% NPK+ GM+ BF	12.18	14.45	14.70	15.35	14.90	15.43	16.21	16.21	7.57	9.51	9.70	10.16	9.62	10.04	10.78	
T <sub>8</sub>	50% NPK+25% N (CSPM)+BF	50% NPK+ GM+ BF	12.30	14.63	15.00	15.47	14.66	15.36	16.15	16.15	7.63	9.63	9.89	10.23	9.53	10.03	10.75	
T <sub>9</sub>	50% NPK+25% N (VC)+BF	75% NPK+ 25% N (VC)+BF	13.04	14.98	15.32	15.79	14.52	15.11	16.02	16.02	8.30	9.90	10.16	10.50	9.41	9.81	10.67	
T <sub>10</sub>	100% NPK+25% N (FYM)+BF	100% NPK+ trash+ BF	11.20	13.02	13.51	14.40	14.35	14.92	15.78	15.78	7.06	8.51	8.84	9.49	9.31	9.74	10.48	
SEm±			0.46	0.05	0.05	0.43	0.06	0.05	0.06	0.06	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.07	0.05	0.07	
CD (P=0.05)			NS	0.15	0.14	NS	0.17	0.16	0.19	0.19	0.15	0.14	0.14	0.16	0.21	0.17	0.23	

**Table-3** : Effect of different treatments on purity coefficient% and reducing sugar% in sugarcane plant and ratoon crop.

Treatments			Purity coefficient %						Reducing sugar %									
	Plant	Ratoon	Plant			Ratoon			Plant			Ratoon						
			Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	Nov	Dec	Jan	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	Nov	Dec	Jan		
T <sub>1</sub>	100% NPK	100% NPK	71.1	79.5	80.0	80.2	79.8	81.2	83.6	81.2	83.6	1.72	1.38	1.27	1.14	1.69	1.37	1.19
T <sub>2</sub>	75% NPK+25% N (FYM)	100% NPK+trash	71.6	79.4	80.7	81.4	79.4	81.1	83.6	81.1	83.6	1.69	1.31	1.21	1.10	1.62	1.27	1.13
T <sub>3</sub>	75% NPK+25% N (CSPM)	100% NPK+trash	71.4	80.7	81.0	81.6	79.2	81.5	83.9	81.5	83.9	1.67	1.30	1.20	1.09	1.66	1.33	1.16
T <sub>4</sub>	100% NPK	75% NPK+GM	70.7	78.1	78.9	79.8	78.3	78.6	81.9	78.6	81.9	1.74	1.40	1.30	1.16	1.53	1.11	0.92
T <sub>5</sub>	75% NPK+25% N (FYM)+BF	100% NPK+trash+BF	71.1	79.0	79.4	80.4	78.7	80.1	82.0	80.1	82.0	1.71	1.36	1.25	1.13	1.55	1.16	0.99
T <sub>6</sub>	75% NPK+25% N (CSPM)+BF	100% NPK+trash+BF	71.3	80.5	80.9	81.7	78.9	80.2	82.7	80.2	82.7	1.70	1.33	1.21	1.11	1.60	1.20	1.11
T <sub>7</sub>	50% NPK+25% N (FYM)+BF	50% NPK+GM+BF	72.9	80.2	80.7	81.2	77.6	78.7	81.8	78.7	81.8	1.66	1.29	1.18	1.09	1.36	1.04	0.87
T <sub>8</sub>	50% NPK+25% N (CSPM)+ BF	50% NPK+GM+BF	72.7	80.3	80.6	80.9	78.6	79.1	82.0	79.1	82.0	1.64	1.27	1.16	1.08	1.40	1.06	0.84
T <sub>9</sub>	50% NPK+25% N (VC)+BF	75% NPK+ 25% N (VC)+ BF	75.8	80.9	81.4	81.8	78.1	78.4	82.2	78.4	82.2	1.60	1.25	1.13	1.05	1.47	1.09	0.90
T <sub>10</sub>	100% NPK+25% N (FYM)+ BF	100% NPK+trash+BF	74.6	79.3	79.4	80.4	78.2	79.2	81.6	79.2	81.6	1.75	1.44	1.33	1.18	1.53	1.14	0.97
SEM±			0.67	0.59	0.60	0.52	0.61	0.58	0.73	0.58	0.73	0.06	0.10	0.06	0.06	0.07	0.07	0.05
CD (P=0.05)			1.9	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	1.6	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	0.15

results are in accordance with the findings of Patel *et al.* (1993) who observed higher juice extraction with increasing nutrient supply.

**Corrected brix** : The variations in corrected brix per cent owing to various treatments were significant at all the crop growth stages of ratoon crop. Corrected brix per cent tended to increase with advancement in crop age, irrespective of treatments. The data indicated that at harvest stage (January), highest corrected brix of 19.8% was noted under T<sub>7</sub> followed by T<sub>8</sub>, T<sub>9</sub> and T<sub>4</sub>, being significantly higher than rest of the treatments. The lowest corrected brix (18.2%) was, however, noted under T<sub>1</sub>, though at par with that of T<sub>3</sub> and T<sub>2</sub>. The differences in corrected brix per cent between T<sub>6</sub> and T<sub>10</sub> were significant, where former exhibited lower juice brix (18.8%) than that of later (19.3%).

**Sucrose per cent** : Integrated use of nutrient sources had significant effect on the sucrose percent at all the stages of ratoon crop. Sucrose per cent in juice increased with advancement in crop age, irrespective of treatments. The data inferred that in month of January, ratoon crop significantly recorded highest sucrose content (16.21%) under T<sub>7</sub> which remained at par with T<sub>8</sub> except in month of November. T<sub>1</sub> resulted in significantly minimum sucrose content (15.22%) which was at par with that of T<sub>3</sub> (15.37%). There was progressive improvement in juice sucrose wherever, chemical fertilizers was substituted by organics and or bio-fertilizers. Lower juice sucrose content in plant and ratoon crops under high nutrient supply may be largely due to more nitrogen available to the crop. There is negative correlation between nitrogen supply and juice sucrose due to increase in proteinous substances in juice (Kapoor *et al.*, 1993).

**Available sugar per cent** : Variations in available sugar owing to different treatments were significant at different growth stages of ratoon crop. Available sugar content increased with advancement in crop age. In month of January, T<sub>7</sub> resulted in significantly higher available sugar (10.78%), being at par with T<sub>8</sub> and T<sub>9</sub>, except in month of December, but significantly superior to rest of the treatments. However, the lowest available sugar (10.24%) was recorded with T<sub>1</sub> which was at par with that of T<sub>3</sub> and T<sub>6</sub> at all stages, except in month of November. The differences in available sugar content between T<sub>4</sub> and T<sub>10</sub> were not significant. Almost similar trend was observed at other stages. The lowest available sugar may be attributed to variations in juice sucrose and brix.

**Purity coefficient (%) :** The purity coefficient recorded at different growth stages of ratoon crop exhibited non significant variations owing to different treatments, except in month of December. These were remarkable improvement in juice purity with advancement in crop age, irrespective of the treatments. In month of December, T<sub>3</sub> significantly higher purity (81.5%), being at par with that of T<sub>1</sub>, T<sub>2</sub>, T<sub>5</sub> and T<sub>6</sub> but significantly superior to rest of the treatments. The differences in purity coefficient between T<sub>7</sub> and T<sub>10</sub> were not significant. The minimum purity (78.4%) was, however, noted with T<sub>9</sub> which remained at par with T<sub>4</sub>. Excess nitrogen prolongs vegetative growth, delays maturity/repining and lowers juice purity (Jeyaraman *et al.*, 2003). The lower juice purity may be due to more accumulation of monosaccharides in juice (Patil and Shingate, 1982 and Kapoor *et al.*, 1993). The juice purity which is coefficient of juice sucrose to brix was also of lower order under T<sub>10</sub> indicating that more nitrogen supply leads to more of reducing sugars.

**Reducing sugars (%) :** Reducing sugars did not show any significant variations at all the growth stages of ratoon crop, except in month of January owing to different treatments. Contrary to sucrose content, the reducing sugars tended to decline with the advancement in crop age till harvest stage of ratoon crop. T<sub>1</sub> exhibited significantly highest reducing sugars (1.19%), being at par with that of T<sub>2</sub>, T<sub>3</sub> and T<sub>6</sub>. However, lowest reducing sugars content (0.84%) was noted with T<sub>8</sub>. The differences in reducing sugars among rest of the treatments were, however, non-significant.

It might be concluded that integrated nutrient supply system improved the juice quality parameters in terms of available sugar and sucrose concentration in cane juice which consequently led to enhancement in

sugar recovery under sugarcane-ratoon cropping system.

## REFERENCES

1. Espada, W.G. and Tapay, R.M. (1982). Cane trash fertilization: Influence of N levels on plant and ratoon crop of Phil 6425. *Philippine sugar commission quarterly (Philippines)*, 2 (7): 40-43.
2. Jeyaraman, S., Aigudurai, S. and Kannappan, K. (2003). Integrated nutrient management on growth, yield and quality of sugarcane. *Indian Sugar* 53 (9): 681-684.
3. Kapoor, M.L., Singh, Y., Singh, B. and Meelu, O.P. (1993). Effect of source of levels of nitrogen application on the yield and quality of plant and ratoon crops of sugarcane. *Fertilizer News* 38 (11): 27-31.
4. Khandagave, R.B. (2002). Influence of organic and inorganic manures on sugarcane and sugar yield. Proceedings of the 64<sup>th</sup> Annual Convention of the Sugar Technologists- Association of India, Cochin, Kerala, India, 2002, A23-A31.
5. Patel, H.S., Naiak, P.L., Patel, M.P. and Mehta, N.J. (1993). Phosphorus nutrition under continuous cropping system of sugarcane. *Indian Sugar* 43 (9): 699-703.
6. Patil, V.D. and Shingate, A.K. (1982). Studies on the effect of different levels of pressmud cake and nitrogen on quality of jaggery. *Indian Sugar* June, 1982: 167-171.
7. Reddy, R.R.P., Raju, D.V.N. and Rao, R.B. (2001). Studies on different sugarcane trash decomposition methods in sugarcane ratoon. *Indian Sugar* LI (9): 635-638.
8. Shinde, D.B., Navale, A.M., Vaidya, B.R. and Jadhav, S.B. (1990). Effect of incorporation of sugarcane trash into field soil on cane yield and soil fertility. *Indian Sugar* 39 (12): 905-908.
9. Spencer, G.L. and Meade, G.P. (1955). Cane Sugar Hand Book. A manual for cane sugar manufacturers and their chemists. John Willey and Sons, Inc. N.Y. Chapman, and Hall Ltd., London.